UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

NEIL CANNON,) CASE NO. 3:15-ev-00717-DAP
Petitioner,)) JUDGE DAN AARON POLSTER
vs.) ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
BRIGHAM SLOAN,) AND RECOMMENDATION)
Respondent.))

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Nancy A.

Vecchiarelli ("R & R"), Doc #. 6, which recommends that the Court dismiss Petitioner Cannon's Petition because it is time-barred. A copy of the R & R was mailed to Cannon on July 20, 2016, 2016. It is now August 10, 2016, and Cannon has yet to file any objection to the R & R.

Under the relevant statute,

Within fourteen days after being served with a copy, any party may serve and file written objections to such proposed findings and recommendations as provided by rules of court. A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, where a party fails to object, a district court is not required to conduct any review, *de novo* or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate

judge. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). The failure to file written objections also results in a waiver of the right to appeal. *Thomas v. Arn*, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), *aff'd*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).

The Court has reviewed the R & R. The Court agrees that Cannon filed his Petition after the time limitations provided had expired and is not entitled to equitable tolling. Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS the Magistrate Judge's R & R, Doc. # 6, and DISMISSES the Petition.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Dan A. Polster Aug. 10, 2016
DAN AARON POLSTER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE